Management of a Woman Diagnosed With Trochanteric Bursitis with the Use of a Protonics® Neuromuscular System

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Trochanteric bursitis is a common, painful condition affecting the hip. The condition is more prevalent in women than men. Symptoms often include an aching or burning pain in the region of the greater trochanter of the femur that may radiate distally down the extremity or proximally into the lumbar spine. The literature describes medical and physical therapy management of this condition that focuses on local symptoms including: medication, injections, surgery, moist heat, ultrasound, massage, stretching, and orthotics.

Purpose: The purpose of this case report is to describe a woman diagnosed with trochanteric bursitis who received physical therapy management over 3 visits that focused on establishing a neutral pelvic position via unilateral hamstring recruitment using a Protonics® Neuromuscular System. All signs and symptoms of trochanteric bursitis reported on the initial visit were abolished over the course of her physical therapy.

Conclusion: Attention to pelvic position using the Protonics® resulted in successful outcomes for this woman with trochanteric bursitis.

Key Words: Trochanteric bursitis, Protonics®, Neuromuscular System, pelvic asymmetry, women’s health, hip pain

INTRODUCTION

Trochanteric bursitis is a painful condition of the lateral thigh involving compression of the trochanteric bursa, which can lead to marked functional limitations and disability.

The condition is almost always insidious but can be sudden if associated with macrotrauma. Common symptoms include a burning or deep aching pain over or just posterior to the greater trochanter and may radiate distally along the lateral thigh to knee and/or lower leg or proximally to the lumbar region and mimic a L5 spinal lesion. Walking, stair ambulation, lying on the affected side, and standing for a long time are often painful. Pain is generally better with rest.

Examination may include: gait observation, hip range of motion measurement, palpation, muscular strength testing, radiographs, and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for differential diagnosis for avascular necrosis, fracture, or loose bodies. Common physical therapy interventions include modalities: moist heat, stretching of the iliotibial band, and tensor fascia lata (TFL) orthotics, a heel lift, patient education regarding activity modification and correction of training errors such as running surface.

Common medical interventions include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, local injections with anesthetic and hydrocortisone, and in rare cases surgery consisting of bursa resection or longitudinal ITB release. Modalities may only have short-term relief, and prolonged use of nonsteroidal drugs may have side effects. Short-term response rates following one or more corticosteroid injections range from 60% to 100%, but up to 36% of patients have a relapse within 10 months.

Currently, no explanations or theories are reported in the literature that explains why the ITB and/or TFL become ‘tight,’ or why...
trochanteric bursitis is more common in females. However the literature does report that women have more generalized joint laxity (GJL) then men,15-22 and GJL has been a finding in women with trochanteric bursitis.5 Women typically have a wider pelvis than men. Perhaps the mechanics of the TFL, GM, and ITB are altered in women because of the width of the pelvis or because of an asymmetrical pelvic position.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this case report is to describe an approach to management of trochanteric bursitis that did not include any local modalities or stretching exercises for ‘tight’ hip musculature, but focused instead on restoring a pelvic neutral position via unilateral hamstring activation with a Protonics Neuromuscular System. The theory behind this approach to the case was that the trochanteric bursa was irritated and compressed by the ITB because of the asymmetrical pelvic position and restoring a position of symmetry would abolish the symptoms.

CASE DESCRIPTION

Examination-History

A 36-year-old woman who worked as an office manager was referred by her primary care physician to an outpatient orthopedic physical therapy practice. She had a diagnosis of left trochanteric bursitis and secondary low back pain. She complained of a 2-year history of insidious left lateral leg and buttock pain, which she rated as a 4 to an 8 on a 10 point scale (0=no pain, 10=worst possible pain).

A functional index score using the Therapeutic Associates Outcome System (TAOS) was a 17 out of 45 placing her in the category of ‘very limited function.’ The TAOS assesses function on a variety of measures including walking, work, personal care, sleeping, recreation, and other tasks depending on the region of involvement.23 Functional limitations included: pain when sitting for greater than 20 minutes, standing for greater than 5 minutes, and a reduction in the number of hours worked. Rest in a supine position alleviated the pain.

Past medical history was unremarkable. The patient was taking a prescription of Vicadin, and had no prior physical therapy. Her goals were to stand and walk as much as she desired without pain and return to full-time work.

Examination-Tests and Measures

Standing observation revealed the patient’s weight shifted to the right lower extremity, and her left foot placed anterior to the right foot. This position, in combination with the weight shift resulted in relative hip adduction on the right and abduction on the left. Visual observation of posture revealed an excessive lumbar lordosis and thoracic kyphosis, and her right shoulder girdle was lower than the left. Range of Motion: Passive hip range of motion was measured with a goniometer in sitting, the standard position for measurement.23 Passive ROM measurements for the left hip were 33° internal rotation with a tissue stretch end feel, 20° external rotation with a firm end feel, and right hip were 33° internal rotation with a tissue stretch end feel, 25° external rotation with a firm end feel. Moderate tenderness to palpation of the left greater trochanter region, gluteus medius, and buttock were reported. Special tests included: (1) the sidelying femoralacetabular joint (FAJ) adduction test (Ober’s Test), (2) the supine FAJ extension test (Modified Thomas Test), and (3) the Lower Trunk Rotation (LTR) test were used to assess pelvic-femoral position (Figures 1-6).25,26 The LTR is a generalized test of spinal mobility. One is testing for symmetry of spinal rotation as well as range of motion. Results were: positive Ober’s tests bilaterally (left greater than right), positive left Modified Thomas test, and a positive left LTR test (Table 1). The left leg was noted as shorter than the right in supine visualizing the medial malleoli; however, no measurements were taken.

Figure 1. Sidelying Femoral Acetabular Joint (FAJ) Adduction (Ober Test) – positive

Figure 2. Sidelying Femoral Acetabular Joint (FAJ) Adduction (Ober Test) – negative

Figure 3. Supine FAJ Extension (Modified Thomas Test) - Positive

Figure 4. Sidelying Femoral Acetabular Joint (FAJ) Adduction (Ober Test) – negative

Figure 5. Supine Lower Trunk Rotation (LTR) Test - positive

Figure 6. Supine Lower Trunk Rotation (LTR) Test – negative
Table 1. Physical Therapy Outcomes for a Female Patient Diagnosed with Trochanteric Bursitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination Test or Measure</th>
<th>Initial Visit (Start of session)</th>
<th>Initial Visit (End of session)</th>
<th>Second Visit</th>
<th>Third Visit (1 year follow-up)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ober's</td>
<td>(+) Bilateral, (L&gt;R)</td>
<td>(-) Bilateral</td>
<td>(-) Bilateral</td>
<td>(-) Bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Thomas</td>
<td>(+) L</td>
<td>(-) L</td>
<td>(-) Bilateral</td>
<td>(-) Bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Trunk Rotation (LTR)</td>
<td>(+) L</td>
<td>(-) L</td>
<td>(-) Bilateral</td>
<td>(-) Bilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic Associates Outcome System (TAOS)</td>
<td>17/45</td>
<td>Not assessed</td>
<td>45/45</td>
<td>45/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain rating</td>
<td>4-8/10</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVALUATION

The positive left Ober’s, Modified Thomas, and LTR tests are thought to suggest an anteriorly tilted, forwardly rotated, left innominate and a left femur that moved with it into passive internal rotation.\textsuperscript{26,27} This position would create relative left hip flexion, altering the TFL/ITB position more anteriorly increasing the compressive forces over the trochanteric bursa. Also it is theorized that the innominate position was associated with the functional leg length discrepancy, ie, short leg on the left side. These biomechanical changes associated with her asymmetrical pelvic position were hypothesized as the cause of her symptoms. Therefore the focus of this case was to correct faulty mechanics throughout the kinetic chain by correcting the pelvic asymmetry to a neutral position. Goals included the abolishment of pain (0/10) and the complete return of function (45/45 Taos score).

INTERVENTION

A Protonics neuromuscular system was placed on her left leg (Figure 7) because of the positive tests on the left side indicating pathomechanics of the left innominate.\textsuperscript{25} The system is placed with an axis of motion at the knee joint and with the distal struts approximately 1 inch proximal to the malleoli and the proximal struts approximately 3 inches from the pubic symphysis. Protonics was also an ideal choice of intervention because the patient’s schedule would not permit her to return more than once for follow-up.

The device was developed in 1998, has 4 US patents, and is distributed by OrthoRehab Inc. in Tempe, Arizona. Protonics is a system that applies resistance that is independent of speed or gravity to the hamstring musculature during knee flexion to assist in posteriorly rotating the pelvis,\textsuperscript{26,27} and in bringing the femur into a more neutral position in the frontal plane.\textsuperscript{28} A high level of resistance is used during hamstring curls to achieve symmetrical pelvic position and a low level of resistance is used while walking/during ADLs to maintain the pelvic position.

While research using the Protonics System for trochanteric bursitis has not been reported previously, researchers have reported the effectiveness of the system in decreasing anterior knee pain. Two studies have reported measures of: hamstring and quadriceps strength (isokinetic equipment), EMG activity of vastus lateralis and vastus medialis oblique (VL:VMO), patellofemoral congruence angle (radiograph), function (Kujala questionnaire), and pain (VAS).\textsuperscript{29,30}

Schneider et al\textsuperscript{30} compared 2 therapeutic approaches for the treatment of persistent patellofemoral pain syndrome. Forty subjects, with ages ranging from 16 to 40 years were divided into 2 groups. Twenty received 8 weeks of physical therapy consisting of proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) exercises in an outpatient setting for a 1-hour session, twice a week. Twenty used the Protonics System on the involved leg for hamstring curls done in 5 positions for 15 minutes, 3 times a day. Subjects who used the Protonics System demonstrated decreased pain, increased strength of the vastus medialis, and a reduction in the patellofemoral congruence angle (PFC). No significant changes in pain, strength, or PFC were observed in the group receiving the PNF therapeutic program.

Timm\textsuperscript{31} reported a study of 100 subjects having patellofemoral syndrome. Fifty subjects received treatment using the Protonics System for 4 weeks. Fifty subjects received no treatment for 4 weeks and served as controls. The 50 subjects who used the system wore the device ‘as much as possible’ throughout the day during activities of daily living on a setting of low resistance. At the end of 4 weeks, the control group had no change in symptoms while the treatment group had improvement in patellofemoral congruence and over all function, and a reduction in patellofemoral pain.

From these 2 studies, the Protonics System appears to be beneficial in managing patients with patellofemoral syndrome via repositioning of the pelvis and femur. Pelvic and femur repositioning may have application for individuals having trochanteric bursitis.

During the first clinical visit, the patient performed 15 hamstring curls in prone, supine, seated, and standing positions with the system set at a resistance level of ‘7’, (on a scale from 1–9) as described in the Protonics Repositioning Protocol.\textsuperscript{30} Care was taken to ensure the patient maintained a neutral hip and spine position during the exercises, eg, using a pillow under her thigh to keep the knee and hip in the same parallel plane during prone, supine, and sitting positions, and a pillow under her abdomen during prone exercises. Immediately after she performed the initial set of exercises in the clinic using the Protonics system, the special tests were repeated. All 3 special tests were negative.

The patient was instructed to do 15 repetitions of the hamstring curls with the system on her left side in the 4 positions 3 times a day as a home exercise program. This program was done

Figure 7. Protonics\textsuperscript{®} Neuromuscular System- used for a prone hamstring curl for pelvic repositioning.
to maintain her pelvis and femur in a neutral position. She also was instructed to wear the system on a resistance level of a ‘2’ for 1 to 2 hours during activities of daily living, 3 times a day for a total of 6 hours per day. At 6 weeks the patient was instructed to gradually decrease the use of Protonics to 1 time per day, to every other day, to 2 to 3 times per week, and then use it on an as needed basis.

OUTCOMES

Within the same visit, (on visit one), the patient’s Ober and modified Thomas went from positive to negative, and her pain rating went from a 4/10 to a 2/10 (Table 1). Via phone, 3 days later she reported she was completely pain free (0/10) and had discontinued taking her medication. On visit 2 (6 weeks later), the patient reported a very high adherence to her program, and her Ober’s, Modified Thomas, and LTR tests were still negative, and no leg length discrepancy was noted. Her functional index score, using the TAOS was a 45, placing her in the category of ‘active function’ (Table 1). She reported being able to sit for greater than 1 hour and walk for greater than 1 mile without pain.

On her third visit (1 year later), her Ober, Modified Thomas, and LTR tests remained negative, her pain rating remained at a 0/10, no leg length discrepancy was noted, and her TAOS scored remained a 45 (Table 1). She was able to stand and walk pain-free for as long as she desired. She reported she had not used the Protonics for the past 2 months, but had been using it about once a month since her 6-week visit, when she had some activity-related soreness.

DISCUSSION

This case report illustrates unique management for trochanteric bursitis that does not include local modalities or stretching. Rather than targeting the local symptoms directly and the apparently ‘tight’ soft tissue of the hip directly, the physical therapist targeted the pathomechanics theorized to be responsible for the tissue irritation of the left pelvis resulting in local symptoms, thereby targeting the local symptoms indirectly.

The Protonics Neuromuscular System was used on her left leg to achieve a neutral pelvic and sacral position by activating the hamstrings. Activation of the hamstrings can assist in posterior pelvic rotation and reciprocal inhibition of the hip flexors. The hamstrings attach to the ischium and indirectly to the sacrum via the sacrotuberous ligament, so contraction of the hamstring can result in movement of the pelvis and sacrum. The resistance to the hamstrings by the Protonics Neuromuscular System can be applied during daily activities in both open kinetic chain and closed kinetic chain positions. This program ensures that the patient receives neuromuscular input during functional activities. The resistance is consistent regardless of the speed of the movement, regardless of the patient’s position (prone, sitting, supine, standing, or walking).

During the hamstring curls, the hip and spine of the patient are kept in a neutral position to assure the neuromuscular system is retraining muscles at their optimal length-tension relationship. Performing the hamstring curls in the prone position first, followed by supine, sitting, and then standing progressively challenges the patient’s neuromuscular system. The hamstring curls with Protonics resistance appeared to successfully reposition her pelvis (from an anteriorly tilted and forwardly rotated position) to neutral as evidenced by the negative Ober’s, Modified Thomas, and LTR tests. The PROM of hip internal and external rotation was not remeasured.

The frequency of the home repositioning program (15 HS curls at a level 7 in 4 positions) and retraining program (3 times/day for 1-2 hours during daily activities, ie, 3-6 hours total) is thought to re-educate and reinforce maintenance of a neutral pelvic and femoral position by hamstring activation. Consequently, the excessive demands on her hip musculature were removed and symptoms resolved. The patient was able to reposition her pelvis (negative tests at the end of her initial examination) and maintain carry-over as demonstrated by negative special tests, TAOS scores indicating normal function, and a pain scale of 0 at the second (6 weeks) and third visits (1 year later).

This approach to management of trochanteric bursitis had positive outcomes by targeting what was thought to be the underlying pathomechanics resulting in chronic bursitis, associated symptoms, and functional limitations. Interventions focused on pelvic repositioning using contraction of the hamstrings, which resulted in abolishment of her pain and functional limitations. She did not require injections, medications, orthotics, stretching, and any other modalities or follow-up care. More research is...
needed to provide evidence for the association
between pelvic asymmetry and trochanteric
bursitis, pelvic asymmetry and leg length
discrepancy, and pelvic asymmetry and other muscu-
loskeletal dysfunctions commonly seen in women
such as low back pain, pelvic floor dysfunction,
stress incontinence, and sacroiliac dysfunction.
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